

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/879,070

Applicant(s)

JOHNSON ET AL.

Examiner

Vanel Frenel

Art Unit

3627

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vanel Frenel.

(3) Andrew Rudy Joseph, Primary Examiner (AU 3627).

(2) Dinh X. Nguyen (Reg.No.54,923).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1-7 and 11-23, focusing on independent claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dworkin (4,992,940), Joseph (5,878,401), Bennett et al (4,591,983) and SFA comes of age(Hewlett-Packard Co. Computer Systems Group implented technology-enabled selling applications) by Chaudron Shari.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiners and Applicant's Representative discussed all independent claims 1, 11 and 18. Suggestions were made to better recite the claimed invention by clarifying active steps and several changes. Examiner will reconsider the applied references in light of amendments in accordance with changes discussed. Further, Applicant's Representative has made a request for the Dietz Dan reference since a copy was not provided in the previous communication cited in paper #18; note that the Examiner did not apply this reference and that Applicant's needs a copy for the file. Applicant also requested a withdraw of the restriction since all the claims have been examined. Examiner agrees to the above requests and agree to withdraw the rejections based on the Applicant's arguments which will be reiterated in a filed Response and will consider allowing the claims upon an updated search of the prior art.